EXHIBIT B



DI DISTON



L'an deux mil eine U NOM DU PEUPLE CONGOLAIS

Par-devant nous, Norbert El-ENGA, Prisident du Tribunal de Orande Instante de Pointe-Noire temant sudience publique des référés en notre Cabinet sis au Palais de Justice de cette ville:

Appinte de Mattre Marc Ellel Greifter en Chief des Chambres Civiles andit Tribunal:

(Au la requête en date à Pointe-Moire du C2 Juillet 2005 de la Ripublique du Congo Ministère des Hydroserbures avent pour consellé, Heltres Irèns Je-siene OKOKO Hervé OBONGUI MHUIE et Nadia MACOSSO Avents à la Cour B. 7515? Pointe Noire

Attendu qu'elle expose à l'appui de se requete que en prévalent de de qu'elles ont été galaise par la soniété d'CAP(lightaire d'une extendit sur la République du Conge entvest une déviator de la Cour Courtes de l'Eles de New York du 9 mi 2000) per les déclishes den è et 22 favilles 2000 de la Cour du District de l'Ossis du Texas, pirtatos Ametin, els favilles d'Ametique las conistis dus Reseau ins Newvo Compe Compeny et Répre Conge distins d'Ametique entandaire de partie de partie

Come les juposents des l'et 22 (sytter 2009 prévoient le somitétée de la Cour our l'ég références ligorien, le récessible des réspecteurs l'égages en miniferatre de généra de la gour et leveur de l'est en s'éligif de la erfende de celle-ci our le lépointages le fempe liest que louis appending l'épontètre que le Cope d'épondres ces définées la terrésont désignées de lépontage de leurs et soils paraches d'étant pommitées de le lies définées de transition d'agrande de l'étante de l'épondre de président le ligiteur que le considérant de le le lieure

Male que ces désisteme na gomenient atre exemples en légalifque du Cen-

qu'en l'occurence, les décinions astricaires des 5 et 22 figure, 2005 pl cet pas été motifiée à la République du Congo et que no le light af CAP prétérant orfancière de la République du Congo, ni les modifiées (Ed Mosseso Inc. Busvo Congo Cospany et Risero Congo Linioni, tiers sei mis de pair formaté desent les Tribuneux Congolais une desende d'exequatur des masse distintant amiricalness

que l'article 50 de l'Arte Uniferse sur les procédures simplifiées de recommende et des voies d'extention dispuss que les biens déclarés in-nateinsables par la loi pationale de chaque Etat Partie de Bout pas suscep-tibles de srivie slors subse qu'ils servient détenns par des tières

Cue la sainie ordennie par la juridiction sairickine est contraire à l' crere Public international et à la souveraineté de la République de Congo en es qu'elle vine des redresses flévales, par nature industriales bles, et porte atteinte à la République du Congo dans l'exercice de un souveraineté (depale)



5-2

Queninei, il sied, la question de livroisen des parts de pétrole brut de

la République du Compo détenuée par les sociétés ORS MUNICO Inc. Nuevo Congo Conquer et Nuevo Congo Limited étant urgante et comportant un pleil cortain, d' cyclomer pur mineté que ses corretés ligrant à tont opérateur désigné par la République du Congo landités parte de pétrole brut;

Attender que par somelectore en date de 12 deillet 2005, le Contaté CEN MORDEO CONCO TEN APROP par repusti entre selvis riente ROUTERRE, repeat à la Cont del 1916 PALLIE MATTE, sobient de qui out;

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

que la Souista que mentro stant manifestament un tiere sur procedir mentros.



Come libritale 200 on Code de Presidant Cliffe Gesestatules de la firsticie of complete and describe and complete and comp

putil respert de ce texte que l'amaquatur m'est requis que pour les décisions étrangères existées sur le térritaire Congolals;

que av.les décisions de la Cour de District des Etate-Unio, District de l'écuest du Texas, ent sti resduss, algertitées et executées aux étate-Unio;

Oue souls les effets de mette extention opérée aux Etatmibles sont ressentis au

-3-

Congo à travers la sociáté CHB NOMECO CONGO INC;

Que la République du Congo ne éspreit rapporter la preuve d'un quelconque acte d'exécution accompli au Congo par la Societa l'Africaine Ar-GAP INC;

Que dans ces conditions, la République du Congo ne pout valablement opposer à su créunclère de respectar la formalité diexequatur comme al elle exécutait sa déclision sur le territoire Consolais;

Que la République du Couso sounctand en outre se demado par l'immulté de mainie dont elle bineffoie de la loi notament des articles 50 de l'Acte Uniforme CHIDA portant Organisation des Procédères Simplifiées de Récederement et des Voies d'Exécu-

Que or, il a 616 reppels enpre que la société CRS MONRO COMGO INC neest quertiere. dissiteure des parts de péreis brut querelliés entre la société Adéricaine AF-CAP inc chi et 22 Février 2003;

Che le fait pour la coulété que Manuel distoir lemondates une parte de pêtrole best existe aux désignant des farisférieur représents des parties pas que la coulété que mouseur paret le qualité de métalement)

The 14 Subjects our Houses by America opings our cities provide all the last of the continue of the cities of the

ont rends to the second market was contained to the second second

18 Sept moderate but in the product of the contract of the con

BUT ONLY MAD THE DAY THE PARTY.

Appendix pares visuals de librario des pières de desantir que les déficients foul-

THE MANAGE OF STREET HOLD IN SECURE OF COORS AND HIS SERVED SORT HOLD DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY SORT HOLD DESIGNATION.

Aftenda quell y Lieu de lui faire applient de dispositione de l'erifere so de l'appositione de l'erifere so de l'appositione de l'erifere so de l'appositione de l'erifere so de l'apposition de l'appositione de l'erifere so de l'appositione de l

Attenda également que les décisions judiciaires enérgaines dant gaisie du pétrole beut congolais se cont pas anoche exequatories

cutem sfiet l'article 299 de Gode de Prodémire Civile Commerciale Administrative et Pinancière (GPCGAF) dispuse que Manifestions diplomètiques contraires les juposents readus per les principus atrangère et les autes reque par les officiers publics ou ministérials étrongers ne sont susceptibles d'exécution sur le territoire Congelais qui nyole avoir été déclarée exécutoires par une juridistion Congolai-

evelves 17778 at an 1 . 1

es qui surait ets competente rations materias pour en connaîtren;

Attendu en définitive qu'il n'y à donc lieu à opposer à l'Etat Congolais toutes les dépisions judiciaires Apiricaises

Attendo ignieuses que l'article su de l'égle Uniforme Sur les Progédires Simplifiées de Récourgament et des Poiss d'Effention(APPARVE) de l'OMAR élapose que les bissis déclapée inschafenables par la joi defignale de chaque Pfat Partie de sont pas mandéphiles de sainte alors seus qu'ils sérulent détenns par des tieres:

Or attendu que les redemances pétrolières sont déclarées insaintéenbles par la loi Congolatée;

Attendo en difinitive qu'il gied de dire que le sainte du pitrole brut Gongol lein orchible pur les Tribunate Emericaine ent coercaire à l'ordre public et ponte attitute à la servarainate de l'Esat Gongolbles

Attendo quian regard de tout se qui refedde, il y a lieu de dire que la requete de l'Eliat designals act donn régulière et recevable;

Attends on find our sette regulte est londles cu'il y a lieu de l'alté droit à catte regulte.

COURT COME CASE OF PRINTING OF A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH

Autorda quilly a live dang de réjeter parenent et sieplanent fous les argues ments diveloppe par le société cut manuel conte tent,

ATTENDED HIS IN SHRINES OUT MANDO COME INC & SUCCESSED OR PROCESS.

outly y a lieu de exeles descriptons à en sincepe poinformante à l'applicate 37 de

AT AND HORIST

. I an adding a second of the second second

An estimate commence is a parties a since on phononic dines on account

naturals a property of Purposes of the provinting

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

The part of the Late Company of the Company of the

Constation que les distators judistaires Antitudines avent ordent la baisie de pitrate tent composités sont contratres à l'hours public et portent attellée à la souverthese nationale de la République du Chages

En companion

Ordonacom aux apolitie des Montes, Marro Compo Campair et Marro Compo Linited de linem à tout describer disipal per le République du Compo les parte de attrate trut revision à la république du Compo, configurateur aux gimponitions de la convention du 25 Mai 1979 y et de la lightation en viginum



Dicone que la présente Cristinance esta estoutée, en cas de résistance de la société (SES NOMICO, eves l'aise et maniferance de la forme Publiques

Ordonisis lienten provincies de la primere cridentelle menohitant tou-

nettone les dépens à la charge de le spélité ces sousce conce les Et avent rapare etgré notre ordennées avec le Gréffier./.

Suivent les signatures (e) illisibles
du Président et du Graffièr
suit la mention d'enregistrement
Enregistre à Pointe-Noire le LAN LANGE Pour Expédition collabornéa
certifiée conforme à l'édippel
étable en.

POINTE-NOIRE, In. 19.

Le Graffier on Chef

the conservation of Reporture of South applies to properly a live hydrogen are to respect the property of the hydrogen are to respect the property of the first the first the conservation of the property of the first to the conservation of the property of the first to the conservation of the first to the conservation of the first to the first to the conservation of the first total and the first total and

Me R. Koun-aktille Graffler en Chef

EXECUTION COPY

ORDER

F No. 251

CIVIL LIST No. 546 YEAR: 2005

> REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO ON BEHALF OF THE CONGOLESE PEOPLE

REGISTER No. 477 OF JULY 4, 2005

In the year two thousand five; And on the fourth day of July;

Before us, Norbert Elanga, Presiding Judge of the Pointe-Noire Court of First Instance, holding an urgent public hearing in our Chambers in the Courthouse of said city;

With assistance from Marc Etiki, Attorney, Head Registrar of the Civil Sections of said Court;

With reference to the motion dated July 2, 2005 in Point Noire from the Republic of the Congo, Ministry of Hydrocarbons, with Irène Josiane Okoko, Hervé Obongui Nguie and Nadia Macosso as Legal Counsel, Attorneys at Law, B.P. 5137, Pointe-Noire;

Whereas in support of its motion, it stated: whereas AF CAP (which holds a claim against the Republic of the Congo according to a decision of the Supreme Court of the State of New York of May 9, 2000) based on the decisions of February 4 and 22, 2005 of the District Court, Western District of Texas, Austin Division, United States of America, CMS Nomeco Inc., Nuevo Congo Company and Nuevo Congo Limited do not intend to allow the removal of the shares of crude oil that correspond to the royalties owed to the Republic of the Congo under the hydrocarbons production agreement of May 25, 1979;

Whereas the decisions of February 4 and 22, 2005 provide for the Court's control over the tax royalties owed to the Republic of the Congo, the payment of said royalties in cash to the Registrar of the Court in favor of AF CAP as payment of AF CAP's claim against the Republic of the Congo and of any additional sum the Court may order. These decisions also prohibit the Republic of the Congo and any person with knowledge of these decisions from conveying, concealing or alienating the Republic of the Congo's interests;

But whereas these decisions could not be executed in the Republic of the Congo;

Whereas, actually, a court decision handed down by a foreign jurisdiction, even when the obligor has renounced its immunity of jurisdiction and execution, cannot be executed *ipso jure* in a foreign country; whereas, to be executed, it must be submitted to the procedure for authorizing execution as provided for by Article 299 of the Code of Civil, Commercial, Administrative and Financial Procedure, according to which: "unless there are diplomatic conventions that stipulate otherwise, decisions handed down by foreign courts and instruments received by foreign public or ministerial officers can be executed in the territory of the Congo only after having been declared enforceable by a Congolese jurisdiction that had ratione materiae jurisdiction to take cognizance thereof;"

Whereas in this case, the Republic of the Congo was not notified of the U.S. decisions of February 4 and 22, 2005, and whereas neither AF CAP, alleged obligee of the Republic of the Congo, nor CMS Nomeco Inc., Nuevo Congo Company and Nuevo Congo Limited, third parties garnished, filed a motion with the Congolese Courts for the authority to execute the same U.S. decisions;

Whereas Article 50 of the Uniform Act Organizing Simplified Recovery Procedures and Measures of Execution stipulates that property declared not subject to garnishment by the national law of each Contracting State may not be garnished even though it may be held by third parties;

Whereas the garnishment ordered by the U.S. jurisdiction is in conflict with International Public Order and the Republic of the Congo's sovereignty in that it is aimed at tax royalties, by their nature not subject to garnishment, and it interferes with the Republic of the Congo's exercise of its sovereignty in matters of taxation;

Whereas, therefore, since the issue of the delivery of any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo's shares of crude oil held by CMS Nomeco Inc., Nuevo Congo Company and Nuevo Congo Limited is urgent and contains a certain peril, it is important to immediately order these companies to deliver said shares of crude oil to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo;

Whereas based on the foregoing, the Republic of the Congo is requesting that, by urgent decision, the Court: - find that the Congolese Courts have not received any decision from a U.S. jurisdiction on the garnishment of the mining royalty owed to the Republic of the Congo's under the agreement of May 25, 1979; - find that the decisions of the District Court, Western District of Texas, of February 4 and 22, 2005 or that a decision from a foreign jurisdiction cannot be executed in Congolese territory without first having been the subject of the procedure of authority to execute before the Courts and Tribunals of the Congo; - find that the decisions of February 4 and 22, 2005 conflict with the public order and could not be declared enforceable by a Congolese jurisdiction; - order CMS Nomeco, Nuevo Congo Company and Nuevo Congo Limited to deliver the shares of crude oil to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo, which is owed those shares in accordance with the provisions of the agreement of May 25, 1979 and the laws in effect; - order the *ipso jure* execution of the decision to be handed down notwithstanding any appeals; - rule on the costs as required by law;

Whereas in briefs dated July 2, 2005, CMS Nomeco Congo Inc., with Sylvie Nicole Mouyecket as Legal Counsel, P.O. Box 5316, Pointe-Noire, reacted as follows:

Whereas a certain number of U.S. jurisdictions have handed down decisions ordering the immobilization of shares of crude oil owed to the Republic of the Congo held by CMS Nomeco Congo Inc;

Whereas CMS Nomeco Congo. Inc. filed motions to have the proceedings terminated with several U.S. courts on the grounds that its delivery of the shares of crude oil to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo would be compulsory under Congolese law, notwithstanding the existence of a decision to the contrary from a U.S. jurisdiction, and whereas one of the Courts has dismissed this motion, and the others have not ruled on the subject;

Whereas finally, in view of the fact that CMS Nomeco is a U.S. company, and that the proceedings in progress are U.S. proceedings, a decision from a Congolese jurisdiction requiring CMS Nomeco to deliver shares of crude oil to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo would render CMS Nomeco liable to the risk of remitting double payment;

Whereas in fact, if such were the case, CMS Nomeco would be forced to deliver shares of crude oil to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo in accordance with the decision of the Court of First Instance and would run the risk of also having to remit a payment to the United States to be in compliance with the decision of the U.S. Court;

Whereas CMS Nomeco is obviously a third party to the proceedings mentioned above, it should not have to be subjected to such consequences;

Whereas Article 299 of the Code of Civil, Commercial, Administrative and Financial Procedure provides that: "Unless there are diplomatic conventions that stipulate otherwise, judgments handed down by foreign courts and official instruments by foreign public or ministerial officers may not be executed in the Congo until they have been declared enforceable by a Congolese jurisdiction that has ratione materiae jurisdiction to take cognizance thereof;"

Whereas from this code it emerges that authority to execute is required only for decisions of foreign jurisdictions executed in Congolese territory;

Whereas the decisions of the District Court of the United States, Western District of Texas, were handed down, served and executed in the United States;

Whereas only the effects of this execution, carried out in the United States, are felt in the Congo through CMS Nomeco Congo Inc.;

Whereas the Republic of the Congo could not provide evidence of any instrument of execution whatsoever carried out in the Congo by AF-CAP, the U.S. company;

Whereas under these conditions, the Republic of the Congo cannot make valid use of the argument that its obligee observed the procedure for the authority to execute as though it were executing its decision in Congolese Territory;

Whereas the Republic of the Congo further supports its motion by the immunity from garnishment it enjoys under the law, in particular from Article 50 of the OHADA Uniform Act Organizing Simplified Recovery Procedures and Measures of Execution and Article 77 of the Charter of Government Corporations;

Whereas, however, it was noted above that CMS Nomeco Congo Inc. is only "a third party holder" of the shares of crude oil disputed by AF-CAP Inc., the U.S. Company, and the Republic of the Congo, pursuant to the U.S. decisions dated February 4 and 22, 2005, respectively;

Whereas the fact that CMS Nomeco has immobilized these shares of crude oil due to the decisions of the U.S. jurisdictions does not mean that CMS Nomeco Congo Inc. is a party effecting a garnishment;

Whereas CMS Nomeco Congo Inc. could not agree on this issue of immunity of garnishment that involves the main parties to the dispute, mainly AF-CAP Inc., the U.S. company, and the Republic of the Congo;

Whereas it is requesting that the Court: - find that a certain number of U.S. jurisdictions have handed down decisions ordering the immobilization of the shares of crude oil owed to the Republic of the Congo, now held by CMS Nomeco; - find that CMS Nomeco Congo is only a "third arty holder" of the immobilized shares of crude oil; - find that only the effects of this execution are felt in the Congo through CMS Nomeco; find that there is no instrument of execution whatsoever that has been carried out in Congolese territory;

Whereas consequently, find that there is no reason to order the delivery of the shares of crude oil requested by the Republic of the Congo; and - order the Republic of the Congo to pay the costs;

BASED UPON WHICH, WE, THE JUDGE FOR URGENT MATTERS

Whereas the examination of the exhibits in the file shows that the U.S. court decisions that ordered the attachment of Congolese crude oil conflict with the Congolese laws in effect;

Whereas the Republic of the Congo is indeed a sovereign State and therefore a Government Corporation and Enterprise;

Whereas there is reason to apply to it the provisions of Article 30 of the OHADA Uniform Act Organizing Simplified Recovery Procedures and Measures of Execution which provides that "Compulsory distraint and preventive measures shall not apply to persons enjoying immunity from execution. However, any unquestionable debts due for payment belonging to public corporations or enterprises, regardless of their form and mission, may equally be compensated with unquestionable debts due for payment belonging to any person owing them, subject to reciprocity;"

4

Whereas furthermore, the decisions of the U.S. courts, including for the attachment of the Congolese crude oil, have not yet been authorized for execution;

Whereas in fact Article 299 of the Code of Civil, Commercial, Administrative and Financial Procedure (CPCCAF) provides that "Unless there are diplomatic conventions that stipulate otherwise, judgments handed down by foreign courts and official instruments by foreign public or ministerial officers may not be executed in the Congo until they have been declared enforceable by a Congolese jurisdiction that has ratione materiae jurisdiction to take cognizance thereof;"

Whereas ultimately, there is therefore no reason to use the decisions of the U.S. courts as arguments against the Congolese State;

Whereas, moreover, Article 50 of the OHADA Uniform Act Organizing Simplified Recovery Procedures and Measures of Execution (UAOSRPME) provides that "property declared not subject to attachment by the national law of each Contracting State may not be attached, even if it is held by third parties;

Yet, whereas the oil royalties are declared not subject to attachment by Congolese law;

Whereas ultimately, it should be found that the attachment of the Congolese crude oil ordered by the U.S. courts is in conflict with public order and interferes with the sovereignty of the Congolese State;

Whereas with regard to the foregoing, there is reason to find that the Congolese Republic's motion is in order and admissible;

Whereas, in substance, it is grounded; whereas there is reason to accept it;

Whereas it is therefore essential to find that the Congolese Courts have not received any decision handed down by a U.S. jurisdiction on the attachment of the oil royalty owed to the Republic of the Congo under the convention of May 25, 1979;

Whereas there is reason to unconditionally dismiss all the arguments made by Nomeco;

Whereas Nomeco Congo Inc. has lost the proceedings;

Whereas there is reason to require that it pay the costs in accordance with Article 57 of the CCCAFP;

NOW THEREFORE

Ruling in public based on the arguments of both parties on an urgent basis in a civil matter in the first instance;

On the merits, we refer the parties to enter an appeal as they shall advise;

But at this time, given the urgency and by way of advance;

We find that the Congolese Courts have not received any decision handed down by a U.S. jurisdiction on the attachment of the oil royalty owed to the Republic of the Congo under the convention of May 25, 1979;

We find that the U.S. court decisions or decisions of other foreign jurisdictions may not be executed in Congolese territory without first having been the subject of the procedure of the authority to execute with the Congolese Courts and Tribunals. We find that the Congolese oil royalties cannot be attached according to Congolese law;

5

We find that the U.S. court decisions that ordered the attachment of the Congolese crude oil are in conflict with the public order and interfere with the Republic of the Congo's national sovereignty;

Consequently;

We order CMS Nomeco, Nuevo Congo Company and Nuevo Congo Limited to deliver the shares of crude oil that are owed to any operator designated by the Republic of the Congo in accordance with the provisions of the agreement of May 25, 1979 and the laws in effect;

We require that this order be executed, and with the assistance of the law enforcement authorities in the event there is resistance;

We order the provisional execution of this order notwithstanding any appeals;

We order CMS Nomeco Congo Inc. to pay the costs.

And we have signed our Order with the Clerk,

The illegible signatures of the Presiding Judge and the Registrar follow. The recording follows.

Recorded in Pointe-Noire on July 4, 2005

Certified true execution copy, checked against the original, 5 pages

Pointe-Noire, July 5, 2005

Head Registrar

In consequence thereof: the Republic of the Congo orders its registrars, based upon this application, to execute said judgment with the Attorneys General and Prosecuting Attorneys of the Appeals Courts and Courts of First Instance and to assist all commanders and law enforcement agencies and to assist them when they are required by law to do so.

In witness whereof, this execution copy has been signed and sealed by the Head Registrar of the Pointe-Noire Court of First Instance and delivered by him in the form of an execution copy.

[signed]

By the Court
Document Checked against the Original
The Head Registrar

R. Koud-Okouo, Attorney Head Registrar